UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/549,240	09/12/2005	Kengo Nagata	6268-008/NP	5998
	7590 10/19/200 CKEY & PIERCE, P.I	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 828	•	CUMMING, WILLIAM D		
BLOOMFIELD HILLS, MI 48303			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2617	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/19/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

SUPI	PLEMENTA	L
Notice	of Allowabi	ility

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/549,240	NAGATA ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
WILLIAM D. CUMMING	2617	

	WILLIAM D. CUMMING	2617				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS (OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this application. If not included nerewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) or other appropriate communication will be mailed in due course. THIS NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RIGHTS. This application is subject to withdrawal from issue at the initiative of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313 and MPEP 1308.						
1. $igspace$ This communication is responsive to <u>communication filed C</u>	October 13, 2009.					
2. ☑ The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>1-3,5-15,31 and 32</u> .						
3.  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority un a)  All b)  Some* c)  None of the:  1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have  2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have  3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have  International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * Certified copies not received:  Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" on noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONM THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.  4.  A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be submit INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which give  5.  CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") mus  (a)  including changes required by the Notice of Draftspers  1)  hereto or 2)  to Paper No./Mail Date  (b)  including changes required by the attached Examiner's  Paper No./Mail Date  Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1. each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the  Acknowledge	been received.  been received in Application No cuments have been received in this in of this communication to file a reply ENT of this application.  Itted. Note the attached EXAMINER' as reason(s) why the oath or declarate the submitted. It be submitted. It is application on the Comment or in the Comment of the Com	national stage applicant complying with the recomplying attached of the state	quirements OTICE OF			
Attachment(s)  1. Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2. Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3. Information Disclosure Statements (PTO/SB/08), Paper No./Mail Date  4. Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material  /WILLIAM D CUMMING/ Primary Examiner  Art Unit: 2617	5. ☐ Notice of Informal P 6. ☐ Interview Summary Paper No./Mail Dat 7. ☐ Examiner's Amendn 8. ☐ Examiner's Stateme 9. ☑ Other <u>See Continua</u>	(PTO-413), re nent/Comment ent of Reasons for Allo	owance			
	i					

Continuation of Attachment(s) 9. Other: If a satisfactory title is not supplied by the applicant, the examiner may, at the time of allowance, change the title by examiner's amendment. If the change in the title is the only change being made by the examiner at the time of allowance. This may result in slightly longer titles, but the loss in brevity of title will be more than offset by the gain in its informative value in indexing, classifying, searching, etc. If a satisfactory title is not supplied by the applicant, the examiner may, at the time of allowance, change the title by examiner's amendment. If the change in the title is the only change being made by the examiner at the time of allowance. The title wanted by applicants' attorney is NOT satisfactory because only a method is claim and there are thousands of patentsd which are directed to wireless packet communications. Applicants' title would hinder informative value in indexing, classfying and searching by the public and the Office. The request to change the title is DENIED.